

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/972,574	SAKAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Aristotelis M. Psitos	2656	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Aristotelis M. Psitos. (3)_____.

(2) Mr. Robert Popa. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 04 January 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 12.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner discussed the improper dependency of claim 12, i.e., to canceled claim 7. Applicants' representative authorized cancellation of such as well as all required fees to be charged to their account.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

LADAS & PARRY
FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

5670 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2100
Los Angeles, California 90036-5679
Telephone: (323) 934-2300
Facsimile: (323) 934-0202

DELIVER TO: Examiner Psitos, Aristotelis M. Art Unit 2653
COMPANY: United States Patent and Trademark Office
FAX NUMBER: (571) 273-7594
FROM: Robert Popa
DATE: October 12, 2005
TOTAL NO. OF PAGES (INCLUDING THIS PAGE): 4
SUBJECT : Proposed Claim Amendments
U.S. Application No. 09/972,574
Masato Sakamoto, et al.
"Optical Disk Player..."
Our Ref: B-4325/619126-5/RP/AK

REMARKS:

Dear Examiner Psitos:

Enclosed are proposed claim amendments for your review

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The documents accompanying this facsimile transmission contain confidential or privileged information from the law firm of Ladas & Parry. The information is intended to be for the use of the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying, distribution or use of the contents of this faxed information is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please notify us by telephone immediately so that we can arrange the retrieval of the original documents at no cost to you.

*If transmission incomplete, please call (323) 934-2300 and
ask for the operator named above.*

Application No: 09/972,574

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	Masato Sakamoto et al.)	Group Art No.: 2653
)	
Application No:	09/972,574)	Examiner: Psitos, Aristotelis M
)	
Filed:	10/04/2001)	Re: Proposed Claim Amendments
)	
For:	"Optical Disk Player ...")	Our Ref: B-4325 619126-5
)	
)	Date: October 12, 2005

Proposed Claim Amendments

Sir:

This paper includes possible amendments to Claims 1, 11 and 19 for Examiner's review.

All remarks and amendments herein are made without prejudice.

Application No: 09/972,574

Page 2

Listing of Claims:

1. (Currently amended) A carriage servo control system for servo-controlling a movement of a carriage device in a direction transverse to a track formed on a recording medium, in which the carriage device has a detecting device mounted thereon for transmitting an optical beam to the track so as to perform at least one of recording and reproduction of information on and from the track, said carriage servo control system comprising:

an input terminal for receiving a tracking equalizer signal indicative of a phase compensated error between a focus position of the optical beam and a target track position;

a pulse producing unit for producing a periodic signal that has a constant period;

an averaging unit for producing an averaged equalizer signal based on the tracking equalizer signal;

a multiplier for producing a drive signal for driving said carriage device based on at least a periodic sample of the averaged equalizer signal generated by the averaging unit and ~~at least a periodic sample of the periodic signal generated by the pulse producing unit or based~~ on the averaged equalizer signal generated by the averaging unit and at least a periodic sample of the periodic signal generated by the pulse producing unit; and

an output terminal for outputting the drive signal produced by the multiplier to control the movement of the carriage device.

11. (Currently amended) An information recording medium on which program for carriage servo control is recorded so that the program is readable by a computer incorporated in a carriage servo control system for servo-controlling movement of a carriage device in a vertical direction transverse to a track formed on a recording medium, in which the carriage device has a device mounted thereon for transmitting an optical beam to the track so as to perform at least one of recording and reproduction of information on and from the track, said program causing the computer to:

generate a tracking equalizer signal that shows an error between a focus position of the optical beam and a target track position after phase compensation;

Application No: 09/972,574

Page 3

generate a periodic signal that has a constant period;
generate an averaged equalizer signal based on said tracking equalizer signal; and
generate a drive signal for controlling said carriage device based on at least a periodic sample of the averaged equalizer signal and ~~at least a periodic sample of the periodic signal or~~
based on the averaged equalizer signal and at least a periodic sample of the periodic signal.

19. (Currently amended) A method for controlling a movement of a carriage device in a direction transverse to a track formed on a recording medium, in which the carriage device has a detecting device mounted thereon for transmitting an optical beam to the track so as to perform at least one of recording and reproduction of information on and from the track, said method comprising:

providing a tracking equalizer signal indicative of a phase compensated error between a focus position of the optical beam and a target track position;
generating a periodic signal that has a constant period;
generating an averaged equalizer signal based on said tracking equalizer signal; and
generating a drive signal for controlling said carriage device based on at least a periodic sample of the averaged equalizer signal and ~~at least a periodic sample of the periodic signal or~~
based on the averaged equalizer signal and at least a periodic sample of the periodic signal.

REMARKS

Applicants submit that, by amending Claims 1, 11 and 19 as shown above, claims cover the embodiments of Figures 2 and 4.